



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/734,694	12/13/2000	Rayi Ganesan	23952-0138	6528
72386	7590	08/20/2009		
SUTHERLAND II			EXAMINER	
SUTHERLAND, ASBILL & BRENNAN, LLC			COLBERT, ELLA	
999 PEACHTREE STREET				
ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3696	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2009 PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/734,694

Applicant(s)

GANESAN, RAYI

Examiner

Ella Colbert

Art Unit

3696

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ella Colbert.

(3) _____.

(2) Attorney Rhett White.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 60, 61, 77-81, 90, 92, and 99.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chang and Thomas et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representative, Attorney Rhett White, discussed the invention, the Specification, drawing, and claim objections and the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejections. Amendments to the Specification, drawings, and claims were discussed to overcome the objections, rejections and the Chang reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ella Colbert/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3696